

ON-ORBIT PERFORMANCE RISKS SPACE STATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

SAFETY AND PRODUCT ASSURANCE OFFICE

Charles Jackson 24 January 1989

> SSQ-8900149-01 01/06/89 M/CW

• Space station guiding principles

- Mission success is number one
- Quality is planned in, designed in, and built-in -- not inspected in
- Keep it simple
- Minimize organizational and hardware interfaces, and maximize clear hardware and software accountability
- Maximize margins
- Maximize redundancy, but <u>manage it</u>
- Automation, robotics, and AI capability not built in will be accommodated by hooks and scars
- Space station is not an end-product but a key element of NASA and our nation's future
- The international elements are vital to space station success

SSQ-8900230-01 01/17/89 M/CW

On-Orbit Performance Risks (Cont"d)

• Space station guiding principles (cont'd)

- Space station Levels I and II manage the program; Level III and prime contractors design, develop, and fabricate the space station
- Space station requirements are:
 - -- Developed and managed by Levels I and II
 - -- Satisfied and verified by Level III
- Authority will be delegated to the lowest level practical and commensurate with the demonstrated <u>real</u> accountability
- Life-cycle cost will always be a key decision driver starting with development cost
- The TMIS will be the key management tool -- the sooner the better
- Every person in the space station organization must think and perform as a <u>systems</u> engineer or manager

On-Orbit Performance Risks What Is Program Risk?

- Likelihood that a program performance goal/allocation will not be met and the resultant consequences
- Program performance categorized into five areas
 - Safety
 - Technical
 - Operational
 - Cost
 - Schedule
- Program performance areas are inter-related
- Safety and Product Assurance Office is primarily interested in safety, technical, and operational risks

SSQ-8900230-03 01/17/89 M/CW

On-Orbit Performance Risks Integrated Program/Risk Architecture



SSO-8900230-04 01/17/89 M/CW

Safety, technical, operational risks defined

- Functional risks
- Anything that compromises
 - -- Safety
 - -- Mission success
 - Housekeeping
 - User support

SSQ-8900149-02 01/17/89 M/CW

• Risk contributors

- Man less than perfect
- Hardware/software reliability less than perfect
- Lack of functional redundancy

• Risk drivers

- Cost (inadequate funding)
- Unrealistic schedule requirements
- Human error (inadequate training/certification/retraining)

• Managing risk

- Design solutions
- Procedural solutions
- Combination of above

• Order of precedence in managing/mitigating risks

- 1) Design
- 2) Combination Design/Procedural
- 3) Procedural

SSQ-8900149-04 01/17/89 M/CW

FAA analysis of leading causes or related factors in fatal aircraft accidents – 1978 (totals here add up to more than 100% because more than one cause or factor may be cited per accident)



Percent of fatal accidents in which the stated cause/factor was cited

• Northwest Airline Flight 255 accident -- Detroit, 1988

- Cause -- flaps not extended for takeoff
- Preflight checklist not performed in accordance with procedures
- Automatic takeoff warning system was inoperative
 - -- Could not determine cause due to damage

- Risk mitigation examples in SSF Program
 - Assembly procedural/design
 - Crew rescue procedural (NSTS L.O.N.)
 - Safe haven procedural/design (provisioning)
 - Emergency egress procedural/design

• Risk management philosophy

- Design done by man is imperfect
- Roadmap is needed to guide design towards minimum risk





• Goal

Preclude risks by design

SSQ-8900149-08 01/17/89 M/CW