SELECTION OF CONTRACTORS FOR SPACE STATION (PHASE C/D)

On October 29 and 30, 1987, Mr. Myers, Mr. Shapley, and I, along with other senior NASA officials, met with the four Source Evaluation Boards (SEB's) appointed to evaluate the Space Station (Phase C/D) proposals.

DESCRIPTION OF PROCUREMENTS

In 1984, the President directed the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) to undertake the development of the Space Station, the next step in a broad-based U.S. civil space program to develop spaceflight capabilities and to exploit space for scientific, technological, and commercial purposes. Pursuant to that direction, NASA awarded, in 1985, contracts for parallel definition and preliminary design (Phase B) studies. Those studies have been completed and the Space Station Program (SSP) is now ready to proceed into the final design and development phase (Phase C/D), leading to a permanently manned Space Station to be operational in the mid-1990's. To that end, the objective of these procurements is to acquire the design, development, test, and evaluation (DDT&E) and delivery of the Space Station, including related early operations support. The Space Station Phase C/D requirements appear in four work packages (WP's), each under the cognizance of a NASA fleld installation, and each reflecting assigned Space Station responsibilities, primary of which are the following:

WP-1 MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER

Laboratory Module Habitation Module Logistics Elements Node and Airlock System Components: Environmental Control and Life Support Internal Audio/Video Internal Thermal Control Node Structure Berthing Mechanisms/Hatches Manned Systems Components (MOU)

WP-3 CODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER

Polar and Co-orbiting Platforms Attached Payload Accommodations Customer Servicing

WP-2 JOHNSON SPACE CENTER

Resource Nodes Integrated Truss Assembly Mobile Transporter Propulsion Module Airlocks STS/SSPE Attachments EVA, External Thermal Control, Assembly and External Maintenance, GN&C, C&T, and DMS Systems

-

WP-4 LEWIS RESEARCH_CENTER

Electric Power System

Finding no clear cost advantage with either approach, we concluded that the Brayton alternative was the better approach.

Turning to our primary task, we noted that the Rocketdyne proposal (with Brayton alternative) was characterized as "very good" by the SEB. We considered the strengths and weaknesses underlying this rating concluding that it was justified. Finding that the Rocketdyne cost proposal was not so high as to be unacceptable for negotiations and further finding that the proposal was satisfactory in the remaining evaluation areas, we concurred with the SEB's overall assessment that the proposal was acceptable.

Finally, for the reasons expressed above, the following companies were selected for negotiations leading to award of contracts for the Space Station (Phase C/D) requirements:

WP 1 Boeing Aerospace Company

WP 2

WP 3

General Electric Company Astro-Space Division

McDonnell Douglas Astronautics Company

WP 4

Rockwell International Rockatdyne Division

DEC 1 5 1987

Date

James C. Fletcher Administrator

CONCUR:

ale D. Myers nistrator Deputy Admi Shapley

Associate Deputy Administrator (Policy) DEC 15 RAP:

DEC 1 5 1987 Date